1 2 (CC: Doc no. 7) Final Hearing RE: Debtor's Motion for Entry of 3 an Order (I) Authorizing Debtor to Continue Existing Cash Management System, Bank Accounts, and Business Forms and (II) 4 Granting Extension of Time to Comply with the Requirements of 5 Section 345(b) of the Bankruptcy Code filed by David Michael 6 7 Feldman. 8 9 (CC: Doc no. 9) Final Hearing RE: Debtor's Motion for Entry of 10 Interim and Final Orders (A) Authorizing the Use of Cash 11 Collateral and (B) Scheduling a Final Hearing filed by David 12 Michael Feldman on behalf of Newland International Properties, 13 Corp. 14 15 (CC: Doc no. 14) Debtor's Motion for Entry of an Order Pursuant 16 to Sections 105(a), 327, 328 and 330 of the Bankruptcy Code 17 Authorizing the Debtor to Employ and Retain Certain 18 Professionals Utilized in the Ordinary Course of Business filed 19 by David Michael Feldman on behalf of Newland International 20 Properties, Corp. 21 22 23 24 25

(CC: Doc no. 16) Debtor's Application for an Order Authorizing 1 2 and Approving the Employment and Retention of Epiq Bankruptcy Solutions, LLC as Balloting and Tabulation Agent for the Debtor 3 4 Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 327(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) Nunc Pro Tunc to the Petition Date filed by David 5 6 Michael Feldman on behalf of Newland International Properties, 7 Corp. 8 (CC: Doc no. 20) Debtors Application for an Order Approving the 9 10 Employment and Retention of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP as Counsel for the Debtor in Possession nunc pro tunc to the 11 12 Petition Date filed by David Michael Feldman on behalf of 13 Newland International Properties, Corp. 14 15 (CC: Doc no. 21) Debtors Application for an Order Approving the Employment and Retention of Adames, Duran, Alfaro & Lopez As 16 17 Panamanian Counsel for the Debtor in Possession nunc pro tunc to the Petition Date filed by David Michael Feldman on behalf 18 of Newland International Properties, Corp. 19 20 21 (CC: Doc no. 22) Debtors Application for Entry of an Order 22 Authorizing the Employment and Retention of Gapstone LLC as Financial Advisor to the Debtor in Possession nunc pro tunc to 23 24 the Petition Date filed by David Michael Feldman on behalf of 25 Newland International Properties, Corp.

```
1
 2
    (CC: Doc no. 41, 48, 49) Hearing RE: Notice of Presentment of
    an Order Approving the Employment and Retention of Greenberg
 3
 4
    Traurig LLP as Special Real Estate Counsel for the Debtor in
 5
    Possession nunc pro tunc to the Petition Date filed by Eric J.
    Wise on behalf of Newland International Properties, Corp.
 6
 7
 8
    (CC: Doc no. 35, 36, 44, 47) Motion for Entry of an Order
 9
    Authorizing the Debtor to File Under Seal Certain Confidential
10
    Plan Supplement Documents.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
    Transcribed by: Penina Wolicki
21
    eScribers, LLC
22
    700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607
23
    New York, NY 10040
24
    (973)406-2250
25
    operations@escribers.net
```

1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 4 Attorneys for Debtor 5 200 Park Avenue 6 New York, NY 10166 7 8 BY: J. ERIC WISE, ESQ. 9 SHIRA D. WEINER, ESQ. 10 GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 11 12 Special Real Estate Counsel to Debtor 13 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 14 15 16 BY: NATHAN A. HAYNES, ESQ. 17 18 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 19 20 Office of the United States Trustee 21 33 Whitehall Street 21st Floor 22 23 New York, NY 10004 24 25 BY: SUSAN D. GOLDEN, ESQ.

```
1
 2
    SEWARD & KISSEL LLP
 3
          Attorneys for Steering Group of Noteholders
 4
          One Battery Park Plaza
 5
          New York, NY 10004
 6
 7
    BY: JOHN R. ASHMEAD, ESQ.
 8
 9
10
    KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
11
          Attorneys for Trump Parties
12
          1633 Broadway
13
          New York, NY 10019
14
15
    BY: DANIEL A. FLIMAN, ESQ.
16
17
18
    CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP
19
          Attorneys for HSBC as Indenture Trustee
20
          30 Rockefeller Plaza
21
          New York, NY 10112
22
23
    BY: DOUGLAS E. DEUTSCH, ESQ.
24
25
```

```
1
 2
    COHEN TAUBER SPIEVACK & WAGNER, P.C.
 3
          Attorneys for Hotel TOC, Inc.
 4
          And Owners Meeting of the P.H. TOC
 5
          420 Lexington Avenue
          Suite 2400
 6
 7
          New York, NY 10170
 8
 9
    BY: ANDREW L. BUCK, ESQ.
10
11
12
    DLA PIPER LLP (US)
13
          1251 Avenue of the Americas
14
          New York, NY 10020
15
16
    BY: DANIEL G. EGAN, ESQ.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

#### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

#### PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right, please be seated. We're here in Newland International Properties, Corp., number 13-11396.

MR. WISE: Your Honor, Eric Wise, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, for the debtor, Newland International Properties, Corp. Good morning.

We have nine items on our agenda to be heard this morning. Eight of them are not contested. One of them is the subject of an objection. I'm going to go through the various motions in the order in the agenda, starting out with the first motion, which is the debtor's motion for the entry of a new interim -- a second interim order with respect to the cash management system, and the granting of the extension of time to comply with the requirements of 345(b).

On the first-day hearing on May 1st, the Court entered an interim order, and the discussion at the first-day hearing, was possibly doing a second interim order. We've done that. We've provided the revised order or the second interim order in black-line form to the clerk. And that order provides for an extension to June 7th, which in the context of our schedule, is a -- gives us roughly ten days after the confirmation hearing, in order to -- assuming that the plan is confirmed -- go effective.

THE COURT: Ms. Golden?

MS. GOLDEN: Your Honor, in terms of --

### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

THE COURT: Just pull the microphone a little closer
to you, okay?
MS. GOLDEN: In terms let me just start generally
in terms of the uncontested matters. We have reviewed all of
the various retention orders and the interim cash collateral
orders, and everything else, and we don't have any objection to
the form or substance of those.
THE COURT: Thank you. Anybody else wish to be heard
with respect to the second interim order for use of for the
cash management system, et cetera?
All right, it's granted.
MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. Next on the agenda

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. Next on the agenda is a similar motion for the entry of further interim relief for the use of cash collateral. Again, we provided copies of the second interim cash collateral order to the clerk and along with a black-line. And again, that would extend that interim order for the use of cash collateral to June 7th, ten days after the confirmation hearing.

THE COURT: Is there any change in the budget?

MR. WISE: There's no change in the budget.

THE COURT: All right. Does anybody wish to be heard with respect to the cash collateral motion?

All right, that's granted as well.

MR. WISE: Your Honor, the next motion is for the entry of an order related to ordinary course professionals and

the retention of ordinary course professionals. The debtor's motion and order lists three ordinary course professionals, each of which are Panamanian law firms that provide legal services to the debtor in the ordinary course, such as deed processing, tax payments, and general litigation in Panama.

There's a monthly cap of 10,000 dollars per professional, and also a maximum of 50,000 dollars per professional, which is consistent with historical invoices for these firms for the debtor.

THE COURT: The one comment I would have there, as I gather, Ms. Golden, you don't have any objections to this -this was one of those that you indicated no objection to -it's -- particularly dealing with foreign law firms, it's
extremely important that they follow the U.S. Trustee
Guidelines and the court's guidelines with respect to
preparation of fee applications.

In the past, at times, we've had some difficulty because they're not used to our system and what the level of detail that's required to be shown. So it's really very important that you or your colleagues have a discussion with all the ordinary course professionals about -- I mean, they're going to get paid as ordinary course professionals, but nevertheless, their applications get reviewed.

MR. WISE: We will coordinate with them -THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WISE: -- and ensure that they understand how they 1 2 properly prepare their applications --3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. WISE: -- for their fees. THE COURT: All right. Anybody else wish to be heard 5 6 with respect to the application to retain ordinary course 7 professionals? All right, that's granted. 8 9 MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on 10 the agenda, Your Honor, is the debtor's application for an 11 order authorizing the employment and retention of Epig 12 Bankruptcy Solutions, LLC, as balloting and tabulation agent. 13 Epiq has obviously significant amount of experience as a 14 balloting and tabulation agent in Chapter 11 cases, including 15 prepackaged Chapter 11 cases. Epiq had already been retained as the debtor's claims 16 17 and noticing agent in this case. And they've received a 35,000 18 dollar retainer in connection with their retention, as both

claims agent and as balloting and tabulation agent at this point.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Anybody wish to be heard? All right, it's granted.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. The fifth item on the agenda is the debtor -- the debtor's application for an order approving the employment and retention of Gibson, Dunn &

### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

Crutcher as counsel for the debtor. Gibson Dunn has been
providing services to the debtor since 2007 in connection with
the original issuance of the bonds, and has continued to
provide services in connection with the bonds and ultimately
their restructuring.
Gibson Dunn did receive a retainer of 250,000 dollars
in advance of the case. That retainer was used in its entirety
pre-petition. And any residual amounts are being waived by
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher.
THE COURT: The waiver includes any for any work
that Gibson Dunn, pre-petition, has done for the debtor?

MR. WISE: That's right.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. WISE: That's right.

THE COURT: Anybody else wish to be heard with respect to the Gibson Dunn retention application?

All right, it's granted.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. The next -- item 6 on the agenda is the debtor's application for an order approving the employment of Adames, Duran, Alfaro & Lopez, also known as Adural, as Panamanian counsel for the debtor. The debtor is a Panamanian corporation, and Adural serves as the chief Panamanian counsel in the restructuring and has been advising the debtor for several years and assisting it with the restructuring of its pre-petition notes, and particularly with

### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

respect to the Panamanian aspects: the collateral, et cetera.

The debtor's intention is to continue to work with Adural in connection with the restructuring and with the issuance of the notes in connection with the plan. And the -- we have included in our orders, both in Gibson Dunn's order and with respect to Adural, a provision that makes it clear that there's not an overlap between the two firms. Obviously, they do Panamanian law, and we are focusing exclusively on U.S. law issues.

THE COURT: And just address the issue of any prepetition amounts owed to the firm.

MR. WISE: Adural has been paid in full for all of their pre-petition amounts.

THE COURT: All right. Again, Mr. Wise, I think the one thing I would -- is anybody from the firm here?

MR. WISE: There's no one from the firm Adural here.

THE COURT: Is anybody present on the phone from the firm?

MR. WISE: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: All right. Once again, I would just emphasize the importance of complete compliance with our requirements for preparation of fee applications. I don't want to have to start bouncing fee applications. They've got to be done right. They've got to be done with the level of detail that we require. It's -- I'm just emphasizing it because in

past matters, I've found foreign law firms are not ordinarily familiar with the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code and our court's requirements and the U.S. Trustee's requirements. It's just going to result in fees -- and that goes for both fees and expenses. I expect full expense detail from any counsel, foreign or domestic. And I'm just harping on it. Nothing against them at all. But I just -- the past experience has shown a continuing problem. So with that, anybody else wish to be heard?

All right, it's approved.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on the agenda, agenda item 7, is the debtor's application for the entry of an order authorizing the employment and retention of Gapstone LLC as financial advisor for the debtor. Gapstone has been the debtor's financial advisor for a year and a half on the matter of the restructuring of these notes and other matters for the debtor. They provide a broad range of corporate advisory services, including corporate and financial restructuring services.

The debtor -- excuse me -- Gapstone has intimate knowledge of the debtor's business and affairs, and is being retained because of its institutional knowledge, its understanding of Latin American project transactions such as this.

Gapstone did receive 110,000-dollar retainer prior to

#### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

the petition date, which was consumed in its entirety. Ar	nd
Gapstone had waived any pre-petition claim with respect to	o its
unpaid pre-petition fees.	

THE COURT: All right. Does their indemnification comply with the Blackstone protocol?

MR. WISE: Yes, I believe they conformed that to their -- the Blackstone protocol.

MS. GOLDEN: Yes, Your Honor. Originally the order didn't. It was drafted in a way that was not in conformance. And we negotiated it so that it complies with all of the terms of the Blackstone protocol, and what's standard --

THE COURT: All right. So you're satisfied at this stage?

MS. GOLDEN: Yeah. Long story short, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else wish to be heard with respect to Gapstone's retention?

All right, it's approved.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. The eighth item on the agenda is for an order approving the employment and retention of Greenberg Traurig as special real estate counsel to the debtor under 327(e). Greenberg Traurig had been special counsel to the debtor for approximately eight years, and has been instrumental in negotiating the licensing concessions in particular, with the Trump organization, and will continue in that capacity, in the case.

1	And Greenberg Traurig has not yet been paid for its
2	pre-petition services in April, which I have an outstanding
3	amount of about 79,000 dollars. They have a 50,000 dollar
4	retainer, which they're seeking authority to apply to the pre-
5	petition amounts.
6	THE COURT: Ms. Golden?
7	MS. GOLDEN: It was my understanding that any pre-
8	petition balance in connection with their retainer was going to
9	be applied at the interim fee at the fee hearing at the
10	first interim fee hearing.
11	THE COURT: Let me make I want to make sure I
12	understand. Because they their retainer is not sufficient
13	to cover their pre-petition statements.
14	MS. GOLDEN: Right.
15	THE COURT: So what, it's going to leave a balance of
16	29,000 dollars
17	MR. WISE: That's right.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Wise?
19	MR. WISE: That's right.
20	THE COURT: Which they're not waiving.
21	MR. WISE: Which they are not waiving.
22	THE COURT: And they are being retained as special
23	MS. GOLDEN: 327(e) counsel.
24	THE COURT: 327(e) counsel. So are you satisfied
25	Ms. Golden?

MS. GOLDEN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. It's approved.

MR. WISE: Okay. The last item on our agenda is the debtor's motion for an order authorizing the debtor to file under seal certain confidential plan supplement documents. We filed a motion for the entry of an order to file these documents under seal. Specifically, these documents relate to amendments to agreements with the Trump organization, which are intended to effect the licensor concessions that are described in the plan disclosure documents and the material substance is contained in those disclosure documents.

We've asked to file them -- the amendments themselves as redacted documents, and we've also asked to file the underlying documents entirely under seal, so that the -- to serve the purpose of transparency, the actual amendments will be filed in redacted form to show --

THE COURT: Well, but the amendment -- I don't know what the new amendments are going to look like, because -- but I've looked at -- there's a whole series of amendments which you're seeking to file under seal.

MR. WISE: Right.

THE COURT: They're not particularly revealing, because they have a couple of sentences that they amend specific sections of very lengthy documents. So filing amendments isn't going to tell anybody very much, I don't

1 think.

MR. WISE: Well, the filing of the -- I mean, the filing of the amendments that are affecting the amendments that affect the license concessions, the other documents, which are the underlying documents, including the amendments, for example, 1 through 7 to the licensing agreement, the documents themselves contain confidential information in a large quantity. And the notion --

THE COURT: Well, let me first tell you how unhappy I am that you -- when did you deliver copies of these documents to the U.S. Trustee? Yesterday? Ever?

MS. GOLDEN: I got an e-mail from counsel at about 9:15 this morning, saying that the documents were dropped off with the service center in our building. And I -- they never came up to me, nor would I go down to the service center. And then counsel gave me a copy right here in the courtroom.

THE COURT: You know, Mr. Wise, I spent several hours yesterday poring through this very thick notebook of documents you're seeking to file under seal. Your motion is denied without prejudice. Okay?

I take very seriously any request to seal documents.

Okay? You're about the run this case off the track. Okay?

You can't do what you did. Any sealing motion has to provide unredacted documents to the U.S. Trustee with sufficient time for them to determine. They filed their objection because they

1 hadn't seen any documents.

You now -- I thought they got them yesterday. Now, I find out they didn't even get them yesterday. Okay? And if you really think that the U.S. Trustee can exercise its responsibility when you dump a three-inch or a four-inch binder on them at the start of a hearing, for sealing of documents, my standard -- and I've written a bunch of opinions on it, I'm sure you're aware of it, they're cited in the U.S. Trustee's objection -- is that redaction rather than wholesale sealing is my approach to documents.

Yes, when documents -- and yes, it looks like there are things within these documents that may properly be redacted. But I don't believe in wholesale redacting. And I don't believe -- this is an adversary process. The importance of transparency in bankruptcy is essential. There is no committee at this point, Ms. Golden, is that right?

MS. GOLDEN: No, Your Honor. Because this is a prenegotiated case, we're holding off. And if things derail --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. GOLDEN: -- toward the end, then we'll solicit. But we've agreed not to.

THE COURT: So you've already probably -- you may have knocked your case off the rails in getting your confirmation hearing when you want. Okay? You can't proceed that way in my court, Mr. Wise. Why you thought so, I don't know.

1	MR. WISE: Just to explain for a moment. I mean, the
2	amendment documents themselves
3	THE COURT: They're not done yet.
4	MR. WISE: And they weren't done to a point where it
5	was available until yesterday. And there was some confusion
6	about the delivery of the documents. And I apologize that they
7	weren't delivered to the U.S. Trustee until this morning or
8	THE COURT: Well, those are the existing documents.
9	Those aren't even the new documents. Those, I haven't seen yet
10	either. All I've seen is this whole series of prior
11	agreements, amendments. Do I have what you're proposing now?
12	MS. WEINER: Yes, Your Honor. Shira Weiner; Gibson,
13	Dunn & Crutcher.
14	THE COURT: When did I get them?
15	MS. WEINER: They're in that binder. I'm sorry if
16	there's some confusion. Documents 5 through 8 in the binder
17	THE COURT: Those are the ones that you want to
18	redact.
19	MS. WEINER: are the new amendments that would be
20	redacted.
21	THE COURT: I did look at those. Okay. It wasn't
22	clear to me what those were.
23	MS. WEINER: Yes. Sorry, I apologize for not being
24	that clear. So documents 1 through 4 in the binder are the
25	underlying agreements that are already in existence. And 5

### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

through 8 are the amendments -- the new amendments that are being proposed to be in redacted form, with the redactions indicated in the highlighting. Thank you.

THE COURT: Your motion is denied without prejudice.
You may have just lost your confirmation hearing.

MR. WISE: What we would intend to do --

THE COURT: Go negotiate with the U.S. Trustee over sealing and redaction. Okay.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You know, I spent time -- just stand up there at the podium. I spent some time reviewing the documents, trying to understand what they are and why the documents in their entirety need to be sealed. I certainly read in the disclosure statement that you are offering to make the documents available -- I guess unredacted documents available to parties entitled to vote, which I guess you're saying is only the secured creditors -- they're the only impaired class, in your view. Is that right?

MR. WISE: That's correct.

THE COURT: If they sign the confidentiality agreement. That may be sufficient. I don't know. But we have an adversary system. And unless the U.S. Trustee has had an opportunity with sufficient time to review the documents and determine what its position is, I'm not going to rule on the merits of your motion. Okay?

1	And a couple of things I've observed. Obviously,
2	you've had considerable negotiations with the Trump
3	organization. And I appreciate that. Okay? And I didn't have
4	time to go back to read the disclosure statement in full. Can
5	you tell me with the concessions that have been made because
6	they refer to quite a few agreements what the net reduction
7	in expenses going forward to the debtor will be as a result of
8	the concessions?
9	MR. WISE: Yes, I can tell you. It is set forth in
10	the disclosure. And I can also
11	THE COURT: Walk me through it.
12	MR. WISE: maybe amplify it. Okay.
13	(Pause)
14	MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor. I'm
15	THE COURT: Bear with me a second.
16	MR. WISE: Sure. So
17	THE COURT: Just a second.
18	MR. WISE: Sure.
19	(Pause)
20	THE COURT: All right, what page are you on?
21	MR. WISE: Page 28 of the disclosure statement.
22	(Pause)
23	THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
24	MR. WISE: So on the bottom of page 28, it explains
25	with respect to the licensing agreement, they've agreed to

1	reduce the amounts payable to Trump Marks Panama, LLC by
2	approximately fifty percent. I don't have a
3	THE COURT: Where is that? I didn't
4	MR. WISE: It's in 4(a) on page 28.
5	THE COURT: How much is it in dollars?
6	MR. WISE: The specific dollars amount
7	THE COURT: Yes, the specific dollar amount?
8	MR. WISE: My understanding is that the Trump parties
9	are concerned that we if we publicly disclose the specific
10	dollar amounts, that that's a key component of the confidential
11	information, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: So creditors reading the disclosure
13	statement don't know what how much is being saved by the
14	concessionary amendments?
15	MR. WISE: In an exact dollar amount? No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: What else? What other changes? I saw a
17	million dollar figure somewhere in there. I read this section.
18	This is what I read this morning. It's hard to follow. Each
19	of the fees are for many agreements.
20	Mr. Wise, each of the agreements, at least the ones
21	that I looked at this morning, the main agreements, have ipso
22	facto clauses such that the filing of the bankruptcy petition
23	is an event of default. Now, there's certainly a question with
24	365(e) whether those are enforceable or not. In most cases, I
25	wouldn't even have an issue about it, but because all the

```
property is in Panama, I do have an issue about it. What's
 1
 2
    the -- is there any waiver of the ipso facto clauses in any of
    the agreements.
 3
 4
             MR. WISE: Parties from the Trump --
             THE COURT: The only way I learned --
 5
 6
             MR. WISE: -- organization is here.
 7
             THE COURT: -- about that was by reading the
    unredacted documents, and I see the ipso facto clause, even
 8
    though there's a New York governing law clause. So do you have
 9
10
    an express waiver of the ipso facto clauses? Don't
    creditors -- aren't they entitled to know that the agreements
11
12
    have ipso facto clauses and the filing of the petition was an
    event of default, if they're enforceable?
13
14
             MR. WISE: You mean, in the underlying documents --
15
             THE COURT: Yes, the underlying documents --
16
             MR. WISE: -- in the amendments --
17
             THE COURT: -- each has an ipso facto clause.
             MR. WISE: -- contain a waiver the ipso clause --
18
19
             THE COURT: Stop. Don't talk when I'm talking.
             Each of the documents that I reviewed contain an ipso
20
21
    facto clause that made an event of default, the filing of a
22
    voluntary petition, which has happened here. In all
23
    likelihood, it's not enforceable under 365(e). In most cases I
24
    wouldn't think twice about it. But because all the property's
25
    in Panama, I do think twice about it.
```

1	Are creditors entitled to know that and I think I
2	saw that Trump was reserving all its rights. So I don't know
3	whether they've have they waived the ipso facto clauses? Is
4	anybody here from Trump?
5	MR. FLIMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Daniel Fliman,
6	with Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman on behalf of Trump
7	parties. Your Honor, what we can certainly do is add something
8	to the unredacted portion of the amendments to make clear
9	the deal here would be, Your Honor, that the underlying
10	agreements would be assumed with the
11	THE COURT: I saw that. They're being assumed. That
12	was part of the deal. They were going to be assumed.
13	MR. FLIMAN: And, Your Honor, we can add a provision
14	into the unredacted portion of these agreements, so that
15	anybody reviewing them could see that we're not going to use
16	the bankruptcy filing as a basis for calling any kind of
17	default.
18	Your Honor, if I could make one more point.
19	THE COURT: Go ahead.
20	MR. FLIMAN: I'm sorry, not to step into the line of
21	fire on
22	THE COURT: No, that's okay.
23	MR. FLIMAN: this, but
24	THE COURT: You can step into the line of my gripe
25	is not that you filed a joinder and I'm not saying that

### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

there isn't a lot of stuff in these agreements that should be sealed. But if you read my opinions, you know that my presumption is for redaction. But it's impossible in an adversary system to know the only other party here who can have a say in this is the U.S. Trustee. And you dumped this -- not you personally -- somebody dumps it on the U.S. Trustee this morning. And you expected her to react to this big, thick binder of agreements.

MR. FLIMAN: Your Honor, I think -- I just wanted to provide our views. Maybe we could look at it this way.

Because when the debtors came to us and said we understand there's confidentiality provisions, but we think we should file the following documents with the plan supplement, our view was that sure, the amendments, that would make sense that would be included in the plan supplement. That's something the Court would need to approve. That's something that's integral to the plan. That's something that people would need to know about.

But the underlying documents are not things that, in our view, need to even be included in the plan supplement. I mean, you often have bankruptcy cases where you have a confirmation, you have a plan supplement, and the documents that are being assumed don't all be included on the plan supplement. I mean, that's actually more the exception than the rule.

And so our view was that sure, you could include the

#### NEWLAND INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, CORP.

amendments, and it makes sense to redact the economic terms on that, but the underlying documents, I don't think need to be in the record at all, Your Honor. That's our view. And I just wanted to throw that into consideration.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- can you tell me what the -- because there are amendments to multiple agreements.

Can you tell me what the aggregate savings to the debtor, from all agreements -- the concessions that are being made in all the agreements. I mean, isn't that material information that needs to be disclosed before I -- publicly disclosed, before I can confirm the plan? I mean, otherwise, who knows what it is? I don't know what it is. I'm the judge, and I don't know.

Okay?

I saw that fifty percent, Mr. Wise, when I looked through it. Why do you think I asked you the question what's the dollar savings? Because I have no idea what the dollar savings is. You talk about these concessions being essential to the reorganization of the debtor. And it probably is essential to the reorganization of the debtor.

But without knowing what the existing annual costs are and what they're going to be after, I have no idea what the impact of these concessions are. I don't approve plans when I don't understand them. And it's one thing -- yes, I mean, I read stuff that's under seal. I read this stuff quickly and don't have the grasp on it. Today's not the confirmation

hearing, I	understand.	But then	the question	is, do	creditors
understand	what's the	impact.			

When you say that these concessions are essential to the reorganization, but you don't tell creditors what the impact of them are -- how many creditors have signed confidentiality agreements to get the unredacted documents?

MR. WISE: To date there -- no one has signed a confidentiality agreement.

THE COURT: Ms. Golden, how much time do you want to review the documents?

MS. GOLDEN: Well, today is Friday, Your Honor. And I would like till at least Tuesday or Wednesday.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Mr. Ashmead, you represent, what? And ad hoc committee?

MR. ASHMEAD: Yes, Your Honor. John Ashmead; Seward & Kissel; for the steering group. A few observations, Your Honor.

Just to be clear, my group is described as holding forty-two percent of the principal amount of the outstanding notes. In fact, two of our holders, before the voting concluded, but after the record date, bought bonds in the open market. So we're effectively -- we're over fifty percent of that group.

My group, while not seeing the underlying documents,

1	because we were Trump didn't want us to see the underlying
2	documents either did understand the underlying economics
3	were shared with my group, and that's how we came to our
4	decisions. I would note and it may not be fully
5	satisfactory to Your Honor, but the projections, of course, on
6	an aggregated business, in the broker-commission area and the
7	Trump license fees, in an aggregate numbers, show the result of
8	those reductions going out. Although it's not broken out, as
9	we understood, because Trump was very sensitive about breaking
10	out the specifics and what that may say to
11	THE COURT: So you represent about fifty percent?
12	MR. ASHMEAD: I think. Yes, over I think it's
13	fifty-two or fifty-three, probably, at this point.
14	THE COURT: So Mr. Wise, have the economics been
15	disclosed to the other roughly fifty percent of noteholders?
16	MR. WISE: Not beyond what's set forth in the
17	disclosure statement.
18	THE COURT: This isn't going to fly, Mr. Wise. It's
19	not going to fly with me. Okay?
20	I don't approve plans when it's a black box that

I don't approve plans when it's a black box that nobody gets a peek in. It's one thing to say whether the license agreements -- and you know, Ms. Golden, don't take from my comments today that I think these license agreements and operating agreements and management agreements all should be made public, because I don't feel that way. Okay?

21

22

23

24

25

Sometimes, as a substitute for the agreements, some
kind of summary sheet that would explain key terms: the
duration, what the term in the agreements are, and some other
key aspects, may may not necessarily may be a
reasonable substitute. But unless the people who are get
the institutions that are getting to vote on the plan are told
what the economics of the deal are, that is not providing the
transparency that's required to approve a plan.
So you're headed to a bad result. You are headed to a
bad result. Go to Panama. If you want to conduct your
proceeding in secrecy, go do it in Panama. Don't do it in this
court in front of me.
MR. WISE: With respect to the disclosures with
respect to the Trump concessions, the I assume that the
absolute amount of the dollar amount of what that represents in
terms of the contribution based on the projections is the
number that would clarify
THE COURT: I don't know.
MR. WISE: the issue.
THE COURT: You're I'm groping at an elephant
blindfolded. All right.
Rather than denying the sealing motion without
prejudice, I'm going to continue the matter until Wednesday
I'm out Monday and Tuesday Wednesday at 9 o'clock.
Ms. Golden, are you able to make it at 9 o'clock?

MS. GOLDEN: Yes, I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Because I've got matters at 10, 11, 2, 3, 4. But I will continue this sealing motion until Wednesday, May 22nd, at 9 a.m. You've got to work it out. I'm telling you right now, you better work this out.

And I think I made this clear, Ms. Golden, I'm not -look, I didn't read every page of what's in here. I spent time
going through it. Because a lot of stuff is clearly entitled
to protection under 107(b). I don't doubt that. Okay? I want
to be sure that creditors voting on this plan have enough
information to make -- you're getting a combined hearing on a
disclosure statement and plan because it's a pre-pack. Right
now, when I went back over this portion of the disclosure
statement this morning, it didn't answer my questions.

I looked at documents trying to figure out how they all fit together, what the costs of -- to the debtor were, what the concessions were. And I couldn't figure it out. And that's not good enough for me. And so you're going to get to a hearing, whether it's May 28th or another day, and I'm going to say you haven't provided adequate information, because I can't figure this out. I don't know what the economics are.

And I don't think -- Mr. Ashmead may not have seen the documents, and I don't know the detail -- how much information he was provided on the economics. He says he understands the economics of them. I don't doubt that. But there's a lot of

1	noteholders who aren't represented by Mr. AShmead at this
2	point. They're being asked they voted already, I guess,
3	from what you said. There was what's the percentage of
4	bonds that voted in favor, pre-petition?
5	MR. WISE: Seventy percent slightly over.
6	MR. ASHMEAD: Seventy percent of the aggregate issue,
7	Your Honor, which a hundred percent of those voting voted in
8	favor.
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	MR. ASHMEAD: Just to be clear.
11	THE COURT: Right. So all of your group voted in
12	favor.
13	MR. ASHMEAD: And another
14	THE COURT: And another twenty percent
15	MR. ASHMEAD: twenty
16	THE COURT: or something.
17	MR. ASHMEAD: Actually, because my group was only
18	forty-two because the voting deadline, really it was another
19	thirty percent that voted in favor. With my group, with the
20	and the person who sold, or the entity that sold, that was not
21	a voting party, really it would be much higher, closer to
22	ninety percent, when you take that.
23	THE COURT: See if you can work out with the U.S.
24	Trustee and the Trump parties I understand they want to
25	limit what the disclosure is, with Mr. Ashmead. You all ought

1	to see whether you can come up with something that is a			
2	reasonable substitute for the documents. You've got to			
3	disclose enough. So, the ruling for today is to continue the			
4	hearing on the sealing motion until May 22nd at 9 a.m.			
5	MR. ASHMEAD: Your Honor, may I just ask a question.			
6	If we resolve it before then, can we alert Your Honor to that			
7	so as			
8	THE COURT: Well, the problem, Mr. Ashmead, is I'm out			
9	of town Monday and Tuesday.			
10	MR. ASHMEAD: Oh, okay. I'm sorry.			
11	MS. GOLDEN: And also, I have to read it.			
12	MR. ASHMEAD: Understood. We're going to help you.			
13	MS. GOLDEN: Thank you.			
14	THE COURT: Look if you if you get it resolved			
15	before then, you can put it in the form of a consent order and			
16	get it to my chambers, and they'll let me know. But it's going			
17	to be difficult.			
18	MR. ASHMEAD: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.			
19	THE COURT: And I'll decide before your			
20	confirmation hearing may be adjourned, just be very aware of			
21	that. I'm not doing that yet, but you may well get adjourned			
22	because let's take it one step at a time.			
23	MR. WISE: Understood. One question I have. We were			
24	intending to file a plan supplement at the end of the day			
25	today. There are lots of there are additional other			

1	documents which we will be prepared to file by the end of the
2	day today with respect to the indenture. Should I
3	THE COURT: Go ahead and file as much
4	MR. WISE: assume we should go ahead and file as
5	much
6	THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead and
7	MR. WISE: okay.
8	THE COURT: file as much as you can.
9	MR. WISE: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Ms. Golden, is there anything you want to
11	add? I didn't even I mean, obviously, I read your
12	objection. But I just the biggest problem I have is I mean,
13	you know, you can't hold stuff back from the U.S. Trustee.
14	MS. GOLDEN: Yes, Your Honor. Just and it's really
15	more directed toward the toward counsel. Obviously we
16	understand taking a very aggressive broad view of this. But
17	there was a history of cases that involved the Trump
18	organization which takes which starts off sort of on this
19	foot, from when the Plaza Hotel filed fifteen, twenty years
20	ago
21	THE COURT: You know, actually, I don't want to hear
22	about the history.
23	MS. GOLDEN: Okay.
24	THE COURT: I only want to deal with the case that's
25	before me.

1	MS. GOLDEN: Fair enough. Fair enough. You know, as
2	Your Honor knows and I think the attorneys in the courtroom
3	that I've worked with, we do try very hard to work with the
4	parties. But it really is a two-way street. So we would
5	appreciate the same courtesies that you would give others.
6	THE COURT: Okay. That completes us for today.
7	MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. We're in recess until 11
9	o'clock.
10	MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:46 AM)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			36
1			
2	INDEX		
3			
4	RULINGS		
5	Page	Line	
6	Debtor's second interim cash management 9	12	
7	motion, granted.		
8	Debtor's interim cash collateral motion, 9	24	
9	granted.		
10	Debtor's motion to retain ordinary course 11	9	
11	professionals, granted.		
12	Debtor's application to retain Epiq as 11	23	
13	balloting agent, granted.		
14	Debtor's application to retain Gibson, Dunn & 12	18	
15	Crutcher, granted.		
16	Debtor's application to retain Adural as 14	11	
17	Panamanian counsel, granted.		
18	Debtor's application to retain Gapstone as 15	18	
19	financial advisor, granted.		
20	Debtor's application to retain Greenberg 17	3	
21	Traurig as special counsel, granted.		
22	Motion to file under seal is continued to May 30	23	
23	22, 9 a.m.		
24			
25			

CERTIFICATION I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Penina waish. PENINA WOLICKI AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D-569 eScribers 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 Date: May 20, 2013